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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of 5,7-bis(dimethylamino)-2-(methylthio)-s-triazolo-[1,5-a]-s-triazine, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$, has been determined by X-ray diffraction. 1358 reflections were measured on an automatic diffractometer with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. The space group of the crystal is $C c$, with $a=9.370(0.0024), b=17.989(0.0040)$, $c=7.842(0.0014) \AA, \beta=109.84(2)^{\circ}$, and 4 molecules per unit cell. The ring system of the molecule, which has not been previously characterized by diffraction methods, is planar to within $0.01 \AA$ and resembles the purine ring system in its bond lengths and angles. The dimethylamino and methylthio ring substituents are twisted out of the plane by less than $10^{\circ}$. Bond lengths indicate that the dimethylamino nitrogen atoms are conjugated to the semi-aromatic ring system. The almost planar molecules assemble in approximately coplanar sheets which are separated by only $3 \cdot 36 \AA$. The structure was solved by the symbolic addition procedure and refined to an $R$ of 0.041 (calculated with all data).


## Introduction

Many highly active insect chemosterilants are dime-thylamino-substituted derivatives of $s$-triazine or similar heterocyclic ring systems (for references, see DeMilo, Oliver \& Gilardi, 1973). Recently, during synthetic work aimed at producing additional chemosterilants, an unexpected rearrangement of the $s$-triaz-olo-[4,3-a]-s-triazine ring system was encountered (DeMilo, Oliver \& Gilardi, 1973). A synthetic intermediate (I) containing this ring system was treated with excess anhydrous dimethylamine in absolute ethanol. The expected dimethylamino replacement at the thiomethyl site did not occur; analysis of the product indicated, rather, that an isomerization had occurred. The most probable explanation for this isomerization seemed to be a rearrangement in the ring system, yielding a derivative of $s$-triazolo-[1,5-a]-s-triazine (II).


The proposed structure (II) of the product, 5,7-bis-(dimethylamino)-s-(methylthio)-s-triazolo-[1,5-a]-s-triazine, is corroborated by the X-ray diffraction analysis reported in this article. The ring system is very similar to that of the purine bases, and this compound could, in fact, be named as a 5 -azapurine derivative, with the conventional purine numbering scheme. However, in this paper, the compound is named and numbered as a triazolo-triazine so as to conform with existing references in Chemical Abstracts. Structural parameters for the heterocyclic ring system in this molecule have not been previously reported.

## Experimental

Crystalline samples of (II) were supplied by Dr A. B. DeMilo of the USDA Agricultural Research Center (Beltsville, Md.). The compound was recrystallized by evaporation from an acetone-cyclohexane mixture. Intensities were collected from a single crystal at room temperature with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation ( $0.71069 \AA$ ) on a fully automated computer-controlled 4 -circle diffractometer with a $\theta-2 \theta$ scan and a $1 \cdot 4^{\circ}+2 \theta\left(\alpha_{2}\right)-20\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ scan over $2 \theta$. The crystallographic constants are reported in Table 1.

## Table 1. Physical data

| Molecular formula | $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| M.W. | 253.33 |
| Habit | Colorless, monoclinic prisms |
| Size | $0.065 \times 0.30 \times 0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Space group | $C c$ |
| $\quad a$ | $9.370(0.0024) \AA$ |
| $b$ | $17.989(0.0040)$ |
| $c$ | $7.842(0.0014)$ |
| $\beta$ | $109 \cdot 84(0.02)^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | $1243 \AA^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ |
| Density (calc.) | 1.353 g cm |
| Density (obs.) | 1.35 |
| Molecules per unit cell | 4 |
| Radiation | Mo K $\alpha(\lambda=0.71069 \AA)$ |
| Number of independent reflec- |  |
| tions measured | 1358 |
| Maximum sin $\theta / \lambda$ | 0.639 |
| Linear absorption coefficient | $2.48 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |

The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors and placed on an absolute scale by means of a $K$-curve. Structure-factor magnitudes, $\left|F_{\mathrm{h}}\right|$, and also normalized structure-factor magnitudes, $\left|E_{\mathrm{h}}\right|$
were derived. The variance to be associated with each $\left|F_{\mathbf{h}}\right|$ was evaluated in the first stages of data reduction, as described below.

The first step in the data reduction procedure consists of obtaining an uncorrected initial intensity value for each reflection from the counting measurements:

$$
I=\left[\mathrm{P}-t_{f}\left(B_{1}+B_{2}\right)\right]
$$

where $P, B_{1}$, and $B_{2}$ refer to the peak (integrated scan) and two background measurements expressed in actual counts, and $t_{f}$ is a time factor necessary to relate the fixed background counting time to the variable integrated peak scan time. The random error in the time factor is very small and is considered negligible. The variance of each counting measurement, whether peak or background, is taken to be:

$$
\sigma^{2}(N)=N+(c N)^{2}
$$

in which the first term is the counting error assuming Poisson statistics, while the second accounts for random intensity-dependent errors due to source or system instability. The parameter $c$ is thus a characteristic of each particular diffraction experiment, and may be estimated from a consideration of the sample variances of the monitored standard reflections. It was calculated to be 0.01 for this particular data collection. The overall expression for the variance in the intensity is then:

$$
\sigma_{I}^{2}=P+c^{2} P^{2}+\left(t_{f}\right)^{2}\left[B_{1}+B_{2}+c^{2} B_{1}^{2}+c^{2} B_{2}^{2}\right] .
$$

The structure factor modulus is obtained from the intensity by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F_{\mathbf{h}}\right|=\left(\frac{Q}{\mathbf{L p}} \cdot I\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad I>0 \\
& \left|F_{\mathbf{h}}\right|=0, \quad I \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L p$ is the Lorentz-polarization factor and $Q$ is an attenuation factor which must be used if the source was attenuated by a metal-foil filter for the measurement of intense diffraction peaks. The determination of the attenuator factors by repetitive scans of chosen peaks leads to a distribution of values with appreciable sample variances. Therefore, estimated values for $\sigma_{Q}^{2}$ were included as a source of error in the structure factor. The resultant expression for the estimate of the structure-factor variance is:

$$
\sigma_{|F|}^{2}=\frac{Q \cdot I}{4 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{p}}\left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{I}}{I}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\sigma_{Q}}{Q}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

In our experience, the two error terms in this equation are often similar in magnitude for very strong reflections. Of course, for the majority of the data, no attenuators are used, and the second term vanishes. If a refiection is very weak, the above expression may be unsuitable. If $I$ approaches zero or is slightly negative, the first term diverges or goes negative. In practice, if $I<5 \sigma_{I}$ the following numerical approximation gives reasonable values for $\sigma_{|F|}$ and has been applied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \sigma_{|F|}=\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{Lp}}\left(I+3 \sigma_{I}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}-[I / \mathrm{Lp}]^{1 / 2}, \quad I \geq 0 \\
& 3 \sigma_{|F|}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\mathrm{Lp}
\end{array}\left(I+3 \sigma_{I}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}, \quad I<0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first quantity on the right hand side of the equation corresponds to a ( $3 \sigma$ ) upper limit on $|F|$, and the second quantity is the expected value for $|F|$. If the intensity, $I$, is less than $-3 \sigma_{I}$ there is good reason to doubt the credibility of at least one of the counting measurements, so the data reduction program rejects such reflections. The numerical approximation for $\sigma_{|F|}$ gives results virtually indistinguishable from the analytic form if $I>5 \sigma_{I}$ and could be used for all data. A similar expression was used by Sletten, Sletten \& Jensen (1969) to estimate $\sigma_{|F|}$ throughout the entire intensity range.

## Structure determination

Precession and Weissenberg photographs of this crystal indicated the space group to be either $C c$ (acentric) or $C 2 / c$ (centric). An examination of the statistical distribution of intensities did not resolve this ambiguity. For example, the value of $\langle | E\rangle$ for the observed reflections was 0.82 versus theoretical values of 0.80 for a centric distribution and 0.89 for an acentric one. This would seem to indicate a centric crystal; however, the observed value of $\left.\left.\langle | E\right|^{2}-1\right\rangle$ was $0 \cdot 84$, and the theoretical values for this statistical measure are 0.97 (centric) and 0.74 (acentric). Also, since the density indicated $Z=4$, the asymmetric unit would be only half a molecule if the space group were $C 2 / c$, and the molecule would possess either an inversion center or a twofold axis. This did not appear to be likely from the chemical evidence.

Thus, the phase determination was begun in space group $C c$ by the symbolic addition procedure (Karle \& Karle, 1966). However, choosing Cc as the most likely space group does not preclude the solution of a $C 2 / c$ structure when this method is used for phase determination. The origin can be set in a way that is compatible with either space group, and the initial symbolic phasing procedure is the same in either case.

In space group $C c$, the $0 k 0$ reflections have real phases and are structure seminvariants. Such reflections are therefore useful for symbolic assignments but may not be used to assign the origin. Two reflections of any other type may be used to fix the origin, but a unique assignment is assured only if

$$
\left|\begin{array}{l}
h_{1} l_{1} \\
h_{2} l_{2}
\end{array}\right|= \pm 1 .
$$

This condition for origin setting was originally expressed by Karle \& Hauptman (1961), but in terms of indices referred to a primitive cell. Above, all references are to indices of the conventional centered cell. In this determination, the 355 and the 243 reflections were assigned zero phases to fix the origin. This pair of reflec-
tions, which obeys the above criterion, necessarily obeys the less stringent requirements for fixing the origin of a C2/c cell (Hauptman \& Karle, 1959).
Maps were generated corresponding to the four most likely sets of phases. One map, corresponding to a set which contained both real and imaginary phases (thus, a Cc structure), contained a structural fragment which looked promising but not entirely satisfactory. A possible $s$-triazine ring was apparent, with the N atoms slightly heavier than the C atoms, but the S atom position was not apparent. However, the $s$-triazine ring and all atoms directly connected to it were used as a partial structure in a tangent-formula phasing procedure (Karle, 1968).

Each cycle of this procedure consists of phasing the reflections with strongest $|E|$ values from a structural fragment, refining those phases and determining additional phases with the tangent formula, and generating an improved $E$ map from these phases. After three cycles,
the full ring system and the amino N atoms were apparent, and the $S$ atom appeared as the heaviest atom. All methyl carbon atoms were found in a conventional difference map. A re-examination of the original $E$ map revealed that the peak for the S atom was indeed present, but was seventh in intensity, and could not be connected to the partial structure because $\mathrm{C}(2)$, the adjacent ring atom, was missing in that first map.

An examination of the coordinates of the refined structure revealed a pseudo-center in the molecule, which could explain some of the ambiguities encountered in the determination of this structure. A shift of $\Delta x=-0.517, \Delta z=-0.895$ (arbitrary shifts in $x$ and $z$ preserve the crystallographic symmetry in $C c$ ) moves the center of the $s$-triazine ring to the origin. The positions of the atoms of the $s$-triazine ring, as well as $\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{N}(13)$, are now quite accurately related by an inversion center at the origin. [C(2) and C(14) also constitute an approximate inversion pair]. If the other

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors for 5,7-bis(dimethyl-amino)-2-(methylthio)-s-triazolo-[1,5-a]-s-triazine (II)

The columns are the index $l, 10\left|F_{o}\right|$, and $10\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|$.


































atoms were absent, this would be a true crystallographic center, and the space group would be $C 2 / c$. The initial phase determination gave phases which tended to reinforce this pseudo-center, and this led to an $E$ map which emphasized those atoms that obeyed the pseudo symmetry. A re-examination of the first $E$ map revealed that the largest spurious peak was a pseudo-inversion equivalent of the sulfur atom. This, of course, disappeared as the truly acentric portion of the structure was added and more of the phases were refined to their true acentric values.

## Refinement

Isotropic and anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement of the non-hydrogen atoms led to an unweighted $R$ of 0.069 at which time a difference Fourier map revealed the 15 hydrogen atoms of the structure. The hydrogen atom positions were subsequently varied, while their anisotropic thermal parameters were included in the refinement as constrained parameters; i.e., the thermal parameters of all atoms of a methyl group were set equal, and varied in unison. Spherical atomic scattering factors for $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{C}$, and N were taken from International Tables for $X$-ray Crystallography (1962), and the spherical scattering factors for bonded hydrogen of Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965) were used. Anomalous scattering contributions were included for $\mathrm{S}: \Delta f^{\prime}=0 \cdot 110, \Delta f^{\prime \prime}=0 \cdot 124$ (Cromer \& Liberman, 1970). An isotropic secondary extinction correction was applied to the calculated structure factors, as outlined by Larson (1967). Only three of the corrections were greater than $5 \%$; the correction factors applied to $F_{c}$ for the $20 \overline{2}, 110$, and 020 reffections were $0.74,0.93$, and 0.91 , respectively. The function minimized was $\sum w\left|F_{o}-F_{c}\right|^{2}$, and the final measures of agreement were


Fig. 1. Bond distances for (II). The standard deviations for bond distances indicated by the least-squares refinement are $0.005 \AA$ for bonds to peripheral methyl carbons and $0.003-$ $0.004 \AA$ for all other bonds between non-hydrogen atoms.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\frac{\sum\left|F_{o}-F_{c}\right|}{\sum\left|F_{o}\right|}=0.041 \\
R_{w} & =\frac{\sum w\left|F_{o}-F_{c}\right|^{2}}{\sum w F_{o}^{2}}=0.031
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
s=\left[\frac{\sum w\left|F_{o}-F_{c}\right|^{2}}{N_{o}-N_{v}}\right]^{1 / 2}=2.23
$$

wherein $N_{o}$ was 1358 and $N_{v}$, the total number of varied parameters, was 198 . No reflections were considered 'unobserved' and omitted from the above summations. Final observed and calculated structure factors are given in Table 2. Final positions and thermal

Table 3. Fractional coordinates and thermal parameters with standard deviations
The thermal parameters are of the form:

$$
T=\exp \left[-\frac{1}{4}\left(B_{11} h^{2} a^{* 2}+B_{22} k^{2} b^{* 2}+B_{33} l^{2} c^{* 2}+2 B_{12} h k a^{*} b^{*}+2 B_{13} h l a^{*} c^{*}+2 B_{23} k l b^{*} c^{*}\right)\right] .
$$

Hydrogen atoms were assigned thermal factors equal to those of the adjoining carbon atom. Standard deviations are those indicated by the full-matrix least-squares refinement.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{11}$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 0.87260 | $0 \cdot 81602$ (5) | 1.25440 | $4 \cdot 76$ (4) | $4 \cdot 46$ (4) | $5 \cdot 36$ (4) | 1.27 (4) | 0.87 (3) | $1 \cdot 25$ (4) |
| N(1) | 0.77301 (31) | 0.95264 (14) | $1 \cdot 16039$ (38) | $3 \cdot 73$ (13) | $3 \cdot 77$ (13) | $4 \cdot 03$ (13) | 0.57 (10) | $0 \cdot 69$ (10) | $0 \cdot 42$ (10) |
| C(2) | 0.74242 (36) | $0 \cdot 88173$ (18) | $1 \cdot 12764$ (41) | $3 \cdot 72$ (14) | $3 \cdot 80$ (14) | 3.76 (14) | 0.70 (11) | 1.28 (12) | 0.47 (11) |
| N(3) | $0 \cdot 61480$ (31) | $0 \cdot 86382$ (14) | 0.99160 (37) | 4.11 (13) | $3 \cdot 36$ (12) | 3.98 (13) | 0.54 (10) | 1.03 (10) | $0 \cdot 26$ (11) |
| N(4) | $0 \cdot 42530$ (30) | 0.94303 (15) | 0.79491 (35) | $3 \cdot 75$ (13) | $3 \cdot 51$ (13) | $3 \cdot 74$ (13) | $0 \cdot 29$ (9) | 0.69 (10) | $0 \cdot 38$ (10) |
| C(5) | $0 \cdot 39402$ (43) | 1.01559 (18) | 0.76481 (54) | $3 \cdot 53$ (17) | $4 \cdot 15$ (14) | $3 \cdot 83$ (13) | 0.67 (13) | $1 \cdot 51$ (13) | 0.69 (13) |
| N(6) | 0.47782 (34) | 1.07262 (14) | $0 \cdot 85595$ (41) | $3 \cdot 68$ (12) | $3 \cdot 27$ (12) | $4 \cdot 14$ (12) | 0.47 (11) | $1 \cdot 27$ (10) | 0.53 (11) |
| C(7) | 0.60571 (40) | 1.05855 (16) | 0.99063 (47) | 3.94 (14) | $3 \cdot 46$ (14) | $4 \cdot 17$ (15) | 0.22 (12) | 2.01 (13) | 0.08 (13) |
| N(8) | 0.64838 (31) | 0.98545 (14) | 1.02974 (37) | $3 \cdot 57$ (12) | $3 \cdot 22$ (12) | $3 \cdot 66$ (11) | $0 \cdot 31$ (10) | 0.98 (9) | 0.03 (10) |
| C(9) | 0.55432 (41) | 0.92957 (18) | 0.92965 (47) | 4.37 (16) | $3 \cdot 39$ (13) | 3.62 (13) | $0 \cdot 40$ (11) | 1.33 (12) | $0 \cdot 12$ (11) |
| N(10) | 0.68833 (31) | $1 \cdot 11439$ (15) | 1.08509 (38) | $4 \cdot 13$ (13) | 3.10 (12) | $5 \cdot 62$ (15) | 0.17 (11) | 1.53 (11) | $-0.32(11)$ |
| C(11) | $0 \cdot 84109$ (48) | $1 \cdot 10938$ (25) | $1 \cdot 21865$ (68) | 4.11 (18) | $4 \cdot 80$ (21) | $6 \cdot 59$ (22) | -0.42 (15) | 0.87 (16) | - 1.40 (17) |
| C(12) | 0.62784 (57) | $1 \cdot 18919$ (23) | 1.04606 (75) | $5 \cdot 26$ (22) | 3.87 (18) | $8 \cdot 70$ (26) | $0 \cdot 14$ (16) | 1.64 (22) | -0.75 (17) |
| N(13) | $0 \cdot 26551$ (34) | 1.03384 (16) | 0.62901 (40) | 4.06 (14) | $4 \cdot 48$ (14) | 4.35 (14) | 0.42 (11) | 0.63 (12) | 0.44 (11) |
| C(14) | $0 \cdot 22310$ (57) | 1-11051 (28) | $0 \cdot 58715$ (68) | $5 \cdot 48$ (24) | $5 \cdot 73$ (21) | $5 \cdot 84$ (22) | 1.55 (18) | $0 \cdot 86$ (20) | $1 \cdot 55$ (21) |
| C(15) | $0 \cdot 16104$ (52) | 0.97669 (27) | 0.52791 (66) | $4 \cdot 66$ (19) | 6.75 (25) | $4 \cdot 62$ (17) | -0.46 (20) | 0.25 (16) | $1 \cdot 11$ (18) |
| C(16) | $0 \cdot 77394$ (58) | 0.73198 (22) | $1 \cdot 16325$ (74) | $7 \cdot 26$ (26) | $3 \cdot 48$ (16) | $8 \cdot 35$ (28) | $1 \cdot 18$ (18) | $2 \cdot 45$ (24) | $1 \cdot 10$ (20) |

Table 3 (cont.)

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 A)$ | $0.9052(36)$ | $1.1442(18)$ | $1.1680(46)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 B)$ | $0.8854(40)$ | $1.0660(18)$ | $1.2035(49)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 C)$ | $0.8476(38)$ | $1.1349(19)$ | $1.3199(50)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(12 A)$ | $0.6376(39)$ | $1.2097(17)$ | $1.1598(51)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(12 B)$ | $0.7001(42)$ | $1.2190(20)$ | $1.0396(58)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(12 C)$ | $0.5375(45)$ | $1.1906(19)$ | $1.0200(58)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(14 A)$ | $0.1590(43)$ | $1.1168(22)$ | $0.6360(49)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(14 B)$ | $0.2000(42)$ | $1.1146(20)$ | $0.4771(49)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(14 C)$ | $0.2861(44)$ | $1.1449(24)$ | $0.6121(53)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15 A)$ | $0.1843(42)$ | $0.9312(23)$ | $0.5578(50)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15 B)$ | $0.0732(41)$ | $0.9740(19)$ | $0.5491(47)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15 C)$ | $0.1171(39)$ | $0.9954(21)$ | $0.4145(49)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(16 A)$ | $0.6927(43)$ | $0.7312(18)$ | $1.2034(51)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(16 B)$ | $0.7635(43)$ | $0.7254(18)$ | $1.0390(54)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(16 C)$ | $0.8269(46)$ | $0.6909(17)$ | $1.2172(58)$ |

motion parameters are listed in Table 3; no corrections for librational motion were applied to the positions or to the bond distances and angles given. The linear absorption coefficient is small ( $2.48 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and absorption corrections were not applied.


Fig. 2. Bond angles for (II). The standard deviations are $0.2^{\circ}$ for angles involving the sulfur atom and $0.3^{\circ}$ for all other angles involving non-hydrogen atoms.


Fig.3. Bond distances and angles of unsubstituted purine (Watson, Sweet \& Marsh, 1965). Two sets of nearly identical parameters were presented by Watson, Sweet \& Marsh; those of Sweet \& Marsh are presented here.


Fig.4. Deviations of each atom in (II) from a best least-square; plane calculated through the atoms of the heterocyclic ring system.

## Molecular structure

The triazolo-triazine ring system of (II) bears a strong resemblance to purine. Both are planar (to within approximately $0.01 \AA$ ), and in both cases the observed bond distances indicate that the ten $\pi$ electrons available on ring atoms in either system are extensively delocalized. The bond distances and angles of (II) are presented in Figs. 1 and 2. For comparison, the bond distances, bond angles, and formal bonding diagram of unsubstituted purine (Watson, Sweet \& Marsh, 1965) are presented in Fig. 3. Although formally purine has a pyrimidine ring with three double bonds, and the triazolo-triazine derivative has an $s$-triazine ring with two double bonds, there are actually no significant differences in the parameters of the six-membered rings of the two compounds. In the five-membered rings of each, there are shortened bonds which indicate some degree of localization of double bond character between atoms which are formally double-bonded in the structural diagrams (II and Fig. 3). However, none of the ring bonds is as short as an isolated double bond or as long as an isolated single bond. The dimethylamino nitrogen atoms, $\mathrm{N}(10)$ and $\mathrm{N}(13)$, apparently contribute to the $\pi$-bond system also; they are connected to the ring system with bonds of hybrid length, and their bonding geometry is essentially planar.

The deviations of all atoms from a best least-squares plane calculated through just the ring atoms are shown in Fig. 4. Most of the distortions from planarity are minor, and could reasonably be attributed to intermolecular forces and/or fairly distant intramolecular repulsions. However, in the linked region, $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8)-$ $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$, van der Waals repulsion forces should be large, for there are two consecutive cis junctions along this chain. There is a close approach between $\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(11)$ of $2 \cdot 893$ (5) $\AA$ compared to an estimated van der Waals approach of approximately $3 \cdot 3 \AA$. Also, one of the hydrogen atoms on $\mathrm{C}(11)$,


Fig. 5. A stereodrawing of (II), showing thermal vibration ellipsoids corresponding to the final thermal factors and isotropic hydrogen atoms at their refined positions.
$\mathrm{H}(11 B)$, is situated only 2.27 (3) $\AA$ from $\mathrm{N}(1)$, compared to a van der Waals contact of $2.75 \AA$. The close approaches in this region would be considerably smaller if the $\mathrm{N}(10)$ dimethylamino group had exactly the same conformation as the $\mathrm{N}(13)$ dimethylamino group. The $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ angle is $126 \cdot 6$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$, more than $5^{\circ}$ larger than the corresponding angles in the other dimethylamino group. Calculation of a plane through $\mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{C}(11)$, and $\mathrm{C}(12)$ reveals that $\mathrm{N}(10)$ is very slightly pyramidal; the N atom is $0.027 \AA$ above the plane. The out-of-plane twist* of the $\mathrm{N}(10)$ dimethylamino group is $7.6^{\circ}$ versus only $1.9^{\circ}$ for the other one. Sundaralingam \& Arora (1969) report that an out-of-plane twist of approximately $8^{\circ}$ occurs in puromycin, which has a dimethylamino substituent in an analogous position on a purine ring system; full structural details were not published, so other structural adjustments in this vicinity cannot be compared.

Fig. 5 is a stereo-illustration which portrays the overall conformation of the molecule. The carbon, nitrogen and sulfur atoms are represented by thermal vibration ellipsoids calculated from the final thermal parameters, which are scaled to include $50 \%$ of the probability density.

Figs. 5, 6 and 7 were prepared with the help of the ORTEP computer program (Johnson, 1965).

## Packing

The structure as viewed down $\mathbf{b}$ is shown in Fig. 6. The sheets of planar molecules are stacked parallel to the ( $10 \overline{1}$ ) planes, with an interplanar distance of $3.36 \AA$. This stacking distance is similar to values observed in a wide variety of purines; e.g., in purine itself the distance is $3.39 \AA$ (Watson, Sweet \& Marsh, 1965). Two sheets of molecules are viewed down a direction which is perpendicular to their plane in Fig. 7, illustrating the overlap of the heterocyclic systems. Within each layer of this system, there is no opportunity for H -bond formation because each amino hydrogen has been replaced by a dimethylamino group. There is only one hydro-gen-heavy atom approach that is shorter than a normal van der Waals contact. A methylthio hydrogen,

[^0]$\mathrm{H}(16 \mathrm{C})$, occurs only 2.58 (3) $\AA$ from $\mathrm{N}(4)$ of an adjacent coplanar molecule; the corresponding van der Waals approach is estimated to be about $2 \cdot 74 \AA$. The shortest distance between stacked molecules is $3 \cdot 360 \AA$, occurring between $\mathrm{C}(7)$ and $\mathrm{N}(4)$. There are, of course, several $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ contacts in the $3 \cdot 4$ to $3 \cdot 5 \AA$ range.
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#### Abstract

The $1 O$ form of yttrium fluoroselenide, YSeF, crystallizes in the orthorhombic system, with the space group Pnam ( $a=9.912, b=6.314, c=4.080 \AA, Z=4$ ). A single crystal was used for the structural determination by the heavy-atom method, and the atomic positions were refined by the least-squares method to $R=0.06$. The selenium atoms are at the vertex of a slightly deformed octahedron and have fourfold coordination while the three-coordinated fluorine atoms are at the centre of an yttrium isosceles triangle. The yttrium atoms have sevenfold coordination. The main feature in this structure is that there are plane groups of Y-F along two diagonals of the projected selenium hexagons. YSeF 10 is the basic structure of many polytype crystals in the Y-Se-F system.


## Introduction

L'étude systématique des composés de lanthanides à deux anions a conduit récemment Dagron (1972) à isoler et décrire l'existence d'une famille de fluoroséléniures d'yttrium et de lanthanides lourds, de formule générale LSeF ( $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Ho}, \mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Y}$ ). Les multiples phases observées dans le système $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{F}$ ont pu être identifiées sans ambiguïté, grâce à des études sur monocristaux. Ces composés sont tous des polytypes, dont la structure de base orthorhombique (que nous appelons YSeF1O, d'après la notation de Ramsdell), était jusqu'à présent inconnue. Rappelons que Dagron a proposé un classement de ces polytypes en deux groupes. Le premier groupe de composés comprend, outre le monotype $\mathrm{YSeF} 1 O$, les polytypes orthorhombiques $3 O$ et $7 O$, dont les paramètres $b$ sont des multiples 3 ou 7 du paramètre $b_{1}$ de la structure de base. Le deuxième groupe, en revanche, comprend les polytypes $2 M$, $3 M, 4 M, 5 M, 6 M$, monocliniques. Leurs paramètres $b$ vérifient la relation

$$
b=n b_{1} \sin \gamma \quad(n=2,3,4,5,6) .
$$

## Données expérimentales

Les cristaux de YSeF1O sont tranparents, de couleur jaune pâle. Le cristal utilisé a une forme parallélépipèdique de $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ de long sur $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ d'épaisseur et est allongé suivant l'axe $\mathbf{c}$.

Les paramètres de la maille sont évalués à partir des clichés d'oscillation-rotation et de Weissenberg puis affinés par la méthode des moindres carrés à partir de quinze raies $h k l$ d'un diffractogramme de DebyeScherrer, avec un étalonnage donné par les raies du cuivre métallique: $a_{1}=9,912$ (4); $b_{1}=6,314$ (2); $c_{1}=$ 4,080 (1) $\AA$. La densité expérimentale $4,86 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ conduit à placer quatre masses formulaires par maille, en accord avec la densité calculée ( $4,86 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ ).

Les réflexions obéissent aux conditions suivantes: $0 k l: k+l=2 n ; h 0 l: h=2 n$. Les groupes spatiaux compatibles sont Pnam ou Pna2. Nous n'avons pas tenu compte de la présence de deux réflexions 902 et 052 d'intensité très faible dans la détermination du groupe spatial car leux existence observée dans le réseau réciproque d'un seul cristal est douteuse. Le calcul de la fonction de Patterson confirmera ultérieurement l'un de ces groupes spatiaux.


[^0]:    * The twist angle is defined as the average of two torsion angles; namely, $\omega$ (N6-C7-N10-C12) and $\omega(\mathrm{N} 8-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{N} 10-$ C11). This is in accord with the twist angle defined by Winkler \& Dunitz (1971) in their discussion of torsions in a semiplanar system.

